

EPCC Minutes
10/26/10

Attendance:

Sally Mielke	Colleen Johnson	Ray Brown
Tony Tovar	Carolyn Bloyed	Sarah Witte
Mike Pierce	Karyn Gomez	Liz Burton

Guests: Provost Adkison

1. Charge from Provost:

DPD requirement was passed last spring. Some curriculum are ready, some being developed need assessable criteria/outcomes from DPD working group.

What we have doesn't provide even guidance for discipline specific for determining how effective DPD is.

The Provost proposes establishing a working group to develop criteria to bring back to EPCC. Form a working group of EPCC membership, Diversity Committee member(s), and the Provost will appoint two people at large in consulting with the Deans. We need broad views. Diversity group has done their job in getting DPD required.

We need a form that is "assessable" when putting courses forward. The goal would be to have it done by the end of Fall term. The criteria that the committee comes up with will not change the program level goals. Just clean them up. Concrete review/ assessment criteria is needed.

EPCC members seem okay with working group concept. Colleen volunteered for A & S rep, Ray Brown for Education, and Mike Pierce for business. Sarah will represent Academic Affairs.

2. result from GEC sampling 2009-2010

Gen Ed is meshing with program objectives. Folding Gen Ed into visit with degree programs to not make it appear as apart from larger curricular mapping.

ULO & PLO – Know where learning outcomes occur

3 separate mapping activities:

ULO, PLO, GLO

Instruction/interpretation

Template

Campus needs clarification of civic engagement. Community service is not the only civic engagement (probably a workshop).

UWR:

Upper division – Writing intensive model function, values, implications so that study can create framework. Against setting up more requirements for students or anything else to hold them back.

Changing rubric language in Assessment Recommendations sheet for Critical Thinking that Sarah modified was approved by EPCC. The GE Assessment Rubric change is okay for Critical Thinking. Sarah will bring the Further Learning and Civic Engagement Rubric changes back to the committee on 11/9/10 as an agenda item.

3. Gen Ed Review Criteria

Sally stated history. Angie reported to Sally that there was no final signature by Interim Provost Jaeger. No action on “GEC Luncheon”. Where do we go from here? Provost will schedule a conversation with English/Writing to communicate his thoughts on pre-requisite and the governance process whereby Faculty Senate approved the criteria for GEC review.